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You have to give it to Donald Trump and the Republican Party. Based on voting results so far, they have vastly outperformed relatively low expectations, especially in southern states, and could be on their way to once again defying opinion polls and predictive markets. However, this is far from a forgone conclusion. U.S. voters and indeed the world will likely have to wait several days for mail-in ballots to be counted in critical swing states (particularly Pennsylvania, Wisconsin and Michigan) before the next President is declared.

Despite the unclear outcome, the central narrative has certainly changed overnight; from a potential “Blue Wave” to a plausible status quo outcome where Republicans retain the Presidency and control of the Senate. This latter point is critical. This is because, while some proposals can be initiated by executive order, many, if not most, require congressional approval. Typically, an administration has the best chance of legislative success when the President’s party holds a majority in both the Senate and House of Representatives.

While the market tends to have a strong aversion to uncertainty, in this case investors may take comfort from the status quo, where tax policy would not involve significant changes if Republicans prevail. This scenario would also forestall stated Democrat objectives to increase the minimum wage and strengthen environmental, financial and other regulations among several other proposals.

Fundamental backdrop favours equities

Regardless of the outcome of an election, often campaign promises become merely just that; promises that become diluted once the election is won. Certainly, political headlines will affect the ebb and flow of daily stock action, particularly for more politically sensitive sectors such as Health Care,

Infrastructure, Energy and Defense. Policy aside, over fifty years of data has shown that environments with expansionary monetary policy, strong fiscal spending and positive economic momentum have been associated with favourable stock market returns. We believe that the current backdrop will be fundamentally supportive for equities, which also compare favourably to bonds given the low interest rate environment. The relative value of stocks (roughly a 3% dividend yield) compares with a less than one percent yield on 10-year Government bonds.

Tax policy no longer the headwind

Under the “Blue Wave” scenario, investors had some concern around the potential for increased corporate and personal taxes should Democrats prevail. The reduction of the corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% was one of the Trump administration’s largest achievements and resulted in a boost to the U.S. economy and job creation. Joe Biden campaigned on a higher corporate tax rate of 28%, a substantial increase from the current 21%. President Trump would like to lower corporate taxes by 1%. On the personal tax front, Mr. Biden has proposed a suite of personal tax increases, targeting those with incomes greater than $400,000 to address the increased inequality across the population. Capital gains tax increases are also on the table for households with incomes greater than $1 million. Either way, because tax policy is very polarizing, under a divided congress tax policy is expected to remain largely as it is today.

Trade stability would be welcomed

Trade is one area that could have significantly different outcomes, depending on who ultimately becomes the next President. Former Vice President Joe Biden has stated that while he also plans to be tough on China, his approach will
be to create a united front with allies to confront China. Investors would welcome a softening of trade rhetoric and tariffs which often create instability and inefficiency, and should help boost global economic growth.

This would be a positive for Canada which has been on the receiving end of tariffs (e.g., the recently threatened tariffs on aluminium) from the Trump administration. Aside from the direct costs, a relief from the threat of further negative actions should be helpful to a host of Canadian sectors. According to BMO Economics, the estimated combined impact of the imposed and threatened tariffs reduced U.S. and Canadian GDP by about one percent. Given the historical relationship between economic growth and corporate profit growth, the boost in economic growth from “freer trade” would be a positive for Canadian equities.

President Trump is expected to continue to use tariffs to unilaterally exert pressure on trading partners. The President also continues to talk tough on China with a focus on the threat of Chinese technology rivals.

**Fiscal stimulus up in the air**

No matter who wins the Presidential election, the odds of a major fiscal stimulus package being passed have significantly diminished compared with the “Blue Wave” scenario. In negotiations prior to the election, Senate Republicans were concerned with the size and direction of funds proposed by the Democrats. Under Joe Biden, the fiscal stimulus spending was expected to be significantly larger, with a focus on infrastructure spending. This would boost growth and corporate profits in 2021. Infrastructure spending typically has a multiplier effect on the economy, providing an effective form of economic stimulus. Higher infrastructure spending, in particular, could boost sales for a number of sectors, especially in alternative energy and green technologies, public transportation, and power and communication systems. An increase in the minimum wage could boost aggregate demand, which would be positive for the consumer sectors, but could hurt companies with limited pricing power, such as restaurants and bars that are already struggling to recover from the Coronavirus.

**Key sectors**

In the case of a Democratic win, the sectors that could be negatively impacted by higher taxes, increased regulation and/or a reduction in federal spending include: Health Care (potential price controls for drugs), Technology (higher taxes, tougher antitrust regime), Financials (higher regulatory burden and taxes), Oil and Gas and Mining (more environmental protection), Defense (lower growth in arms spending), along with industries such as for-profit education and prisons.

**Health Care**

In the Health Care sector, Biden has been vocal about controlling drug price inflation which would have downward pressure on pharmaceutical and biotech stocks in the short term. However, his track record of pragmatism suggests that, the longer-term impact of a lack of innovation by the pharmaceutical industry due to a decline in research and development investment would not be a price that the party would be willing to pay in exchange for a short-term gain. We expect that any decline in drug pricing would not deliver the worst-case scenario. Should Biden win, it is more likely that he would focus on the expansion of health care reform (aka “Obamacare”), which has actually been positive for drug sales as more Americans receive insurance coverage. Mr. Biden has also proposed that the federal government play a more active role in a centralized response to the COVID-19 crisis.

In the case of a Trump victory, we would expect the opposite in policy, where he would continue trying to dismantle health care reform. His odds of achieving this aim could also be bolstered by the Republican majority in the Supreme Court.

**Energy**

The 2020 election campaign showed significant differences in views towards energy policy and climate change. Climate change was central to the Biden campaign, and thus energy companies and auto manufacturers are likely to experience significant change under a Biden Presidency. Biden’s climate agenda was aggressive, with $2 trillion in spending and plans to make environmental policy and climate change a driving force for the U.S. economy.

This is a stark contrast to the Trump administration that has been skeptical about the threat of global warming. Mr. Biden’s target of zero greenhouse-gas emissions by 2035 has long-term implications for auto industry standards, as well as clean energy technology as a replacement for fossil fuels. Renewable energy producers are the clear winners from this election under Biden, with oil and gas producers (already under pressure from weak global demand), the clear losers. If Trump were to win the Presidential race, a potential reversal in outperformance for clean energy technology compared with fossil fuels could ensue.
If history is any guide

After a strong four-year run in equities under President Trump, the question is, where do we go from here under each potential future leader? Historical market returns can provide perspective. Despite the commonly held view that Republican leadership is more stock market friendly, market performance has been superior under a Democrat President since 1929. Specifically, under Democratic leadership, the S&P 500 has achieved average annualized returns of 7.5% versus 1.7% when a Republican was President.

Figure 1: Average Annualized Price Returns (excluding dividends) under Democrat/Republican President
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Source: Bloomberg, as of October 28, 2020, using full presidential terms – beginning March 4, 1929, through the current incumbent

Outlook for interest rates

For interest rates and, in turn, bond markets, the election result does not change the U.S. Federal Reserve’s (“the Fed”) explicit commitment to maintain its policy rate at zero for the foreseeable future. The risk now for investors is higher long-term interest rates, a trend recently observed in the weeks leading up to the election as markets anticipated a “Blue Wave.”

Instead the Treasury bond market is awakening to uncertainty which is supportive for interest rates, at least temporarily. The narrative however doesn’t change as to what the future holds; the potential for aggressive fiscal stimulus is a clear positive for an economy expected to face multiple headwinds. The combination of fiscal stimulus, significant bond supply and the economic recovery, should gradually lead long-term interest rates to resume their uptrend.

In estimating how far rates can travel, it is difficult to say without knowing who will hold office. It would also be too early to count the Fed out of the equation. It is debatable at this stage whether the Fed would accept higher rates in

Figure 2: U.S. Political Landscape Since 1929
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the current precarious economic environment. If needed, the Fed could still adjust the pace and/or extend the term of its purchases under the Quantitative Easing (“QE”) program to pressure long-term interest rates downward. The Fed could also enact a policy where short-term debt is swapped for longer-term debt, commonly referred to as “Operation Twist.” Regardless of the Fed’s current policy targets, higher interest rates represent the path of least resistance.

Concluding thoughts
The U.S. Presidential election captivated our attention for much of the past year and January 20, 2021 will mark the commencement of the new four-year term of the next President of the United States. The two parties are more ideologically divided than at any point over the past twenty years. Although we remain under a cloud of uncertainty about who will ultimately be the next President of the United States, one thing is certain: Whoever wins the White House, battling COVID-19 will be the next President’s most formidable challenge.

Please speak with your BMO financial professional if you have any questions or would like to discuss your investment portfolio.